

The Complex class in the Final Exam

Here is the Complex class from the final with a few extra features: methods for the *conjugate*: $\text{conj}(a + bj) = (a - bj)$, *absolute value squared*: $\text{abs_sq}(a + bj) = a^2 + b^2$, and *absolute value*: $\text{abs}(a + bj) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. I also tried out making the data members private and using the same names for **getReal** and **getImag** as the original data members.

Complex Class in Python, constructed "from scratch"	
<pre> # complex.py: complex numbers "from scratch" # Builtin complex never uses cap C import math class Complex(object): def __init__(self, real, imag=0): self.__real = float(real) self.__imag = float(imag) def __str__(self): return "(%.8g+%.8gj)" % \ (self.__real, self.__imag) def __add__(self, other): return Complex(self.__real + other.__real, self.__imag + other.__imag) def __sub__(self, other): return Complex(self.__real - other.__real, self.__imag - other.__imag) def __mul__(self, other): return Complex(self.__real * other.__real - self.__imag * other.__imag, self.__real * other.__imag + self.__imag * other.__real) def __div__(self, other): denom = other.__real **2 + other.__imag **2 if denom == 0: return None return Complex((self.__real * other.__real + self.__imag * other.__imag)/denom, (self.__imag * other.__real - self.__real * other.__imag)/denom) def __eq__(self, other): return self.__real == other.__real and \ self.__imag == other.__imag def real(self): return self.__real def imag(self): return self.__imag def conj(self): return Complex(self.__real, -self.__imag) def abs_squared(self): return self.__real **2 + self.__imag**2 def abs(self): return math.sqrt(self.abs_squared()) </pre>	<pre> # test_complex.py: series for exp import sys, math, cmath from complex import Complex def test_loop1(): # 8 multiplications r = Complex(1.0,1.0) r16 = Complex(16.0, 0.0) p = Complex(1.0, 0.0) print p for i in range(0,8): p = p*r sys.stdout.write(str(p) + " " + str(p == r16) + '\n') def test_loop2(): # 8 divisions r = Complex(1.0,1.0) r16th = Complex(0.0625, 0.0) p = Complex(1.0, 0.0) print p for i in range(0,8): p = p/r sys.stdout.write(str(p) + " " + str(p == r16th) + '\n') def test_8th_root_of_1(): # back to 1.0 r2 = math.sqrt(2.0)/2.0 r = Complex(r2,r2) p = Complex(1.0, 0.0) print p for i in range(0,8): p = p*r sys.stdout.write(str(p) + '\n') def cexp(x): # complex series for exp term = Complex(1.0) sum = term for i in range(1,28): term = term*x*Complex(1.0/float(i)) sum = sum + term if i < 10: sys.stdout.write(" ") sys.stdout.write(str(i)+": " + str(sum)+'\n') return sum sys.stdout.write("\nTest 8 mults\n") test_loop1() sys.stdout.write("\nTest 8 divisions\n") test_loop2() sys.stdout.write("\nTest 8 mults to 1.0\n") test_8th_root_of_1() sys.stdout.write("\nTest series for exp\n") ipi = Complex(0.0, math.pi) sys.stdout.write("e^ipi: " + str(cexp(ipi))+'\n') sys.stdout.write("\nTest Python's exp\n") pij = 0+cmath.pi*1j sys.stdout.write("cmath.exp(0+cmath.pi*1j):" + + str(cmath.exp(pij)) + '\n') </pre>

This Complex class would have allowed a good project similar to the Fraction class that we did. The Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler discovered the formula: $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$, as well as the infinite series for calculating the exponential

function:

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{0!} + \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{x^n}{n!} \right).$$

This series converges for all x , in particular for $x = 0 + \pi j$ (in Python's notation). I would have had you calculate the sum of the first 25 terms of this series as complex numbers. The program above carries out this computation, and the series does indeed converge to $1 + 0j$. Notice that we are not using Python's built-in complex numbers.

Output of the program above	
% python test_complex.py	Test series for exp
Test 8 mults	ipi: (0+3.141592653589793j)
(1+0j)	
(1+1j) False	1, (1+3.141592653589793j)
(0+2j) False	2, (-3.934802200544679+ 3.141592653589793j)
(-2+2j) False	3, (-3.934802200544679+ -2.026120126460176j)
(-4+0j) False	4, (0.1239099258720886+ -2.026120126460176j)
(-4+-4j) False	5, (0.1239099258720886+ 0.5240439134171688j)
(0+-8j) False	6, (-1.211352842982501+ 0.5240439134171688j)
(8+-8j) False	7, (-1.211352842982501+ -0.07522061590362306j)
(16+0j) True	8, (-0.9760222126236076+ -0.07522061590362306j)
	9, (-0.9760222126236076+ 0.006925270707505135j)
Test 8 divisions	10, (-1.001829104013622+ 0.006925270707505135j)
(1+0j)	11, (-1.001829104013622+ -0.000445160238209211j)
(0.5+-0.5j) False	12, (-0.9998995297042177+ -0.000445160238209211j)
(0+-0.5j) False	13, (-0.9998995297042177+ 2.114256755840119e-05j)
(-0.25+-0.25j) False	14, (-1.000004167809142+ 2.114256755840119e-05j)
(-0.25+0j) False	15, (-1.000004167809142+ -7.727858894290057e-07j)
(-0.125+0.125j) False	16, (-0.999998647395555+ -7.727858894290057e-07j)
(0+0.125j) False	17, (-0.999998647395555+ 2.241951071854486e-08j)
(0.0625+0.0625j) False	18, (-1.00000000352908+ 2.241951071854486e-08j)
(0.0625+0j) True	19, (-1.00000000352908+ -5.289182787249905e-10j)
	20, (-0.999999999243493+ -5.289182787249905e-10j)
Test 8 mults to 1.0	21, (-0.999999999243493+ 1.034818753582179e-11j)
(1+0j)	22, (-1.000000000001356+ 1.034818753582179e-11j)
(0.70710678+0.70710678j)	23, (-1.000000000001356+ -1.702841811102599e-13j)
(0+1j)	24, (-0.999999999999796+ -1.702841811102599e-13j)
(-0.70710678+0.70710678j)	25, (-0.999999999999796+ 2.737743473350955e-15j)
(-1+0j)	26, (-1 + 2.737743473350955e-15j)
(-0.70710678+ -0.70710678j)	27, (-1 + 3.051822933575691e-16j)
(0+-1j)	e^i*pi: (-1 + 3.051822933575691e-16j)
(0.70710678+ -0.70710678j)	
(1+0j)	cmath.exp(0+cmath.pi*1j): (-1+1.22460635382e-16j)

The computation above is converging to -1 plus an extremely small number times j . The last line on the right above shows the same calculation using Python's built-in complex numbers. The two extremely small multiples of j are different in the two computations, but this is of no significance (a pun).

Finally the numbers below show the output of the same computation, carried to high precision, using the library **mpmath**.

Arbitrary Precision Complex Numbers in Python, using mpmath library	
% python complex_mpmath.py	
(0.0 + 3.141592653589793238462643383279502884197j)	
5:	(0.1239099258720889087677683620913040677485 + 0.5240439134171686530727684557912337378288j)
10:	(-1.001829104013621442578255362236712553235 + 0.006925270707504804983831437768892036621276j)
15:	(-1.000004167809142365237470033401378834922 - 0.0000007727858897634448344079259855673249670672j)
20:	(-0.999999999243491569656829463991101443086 - 0.0000000005289186131634290398091717071376516464896j)
25:	(-0.9999999999793635436587388265668563339 + 2.403305035355747041912550309652197855868e-15j)
30:	(-1.000000000000000000000030536341988518572233 + 3.108707909073023766021815358391073476033e-19j)
35:	(-1.000000000000000000000002107755552885457 - 1.79029219970697302499873293037084385004e-25j)
40:	(-0.99999999999999999999999999994625280236 - 7.183693811264135340256222537220808666116e-30j)
45:	(-0.999999999999999999999999999999866208 + 8.944603736934812058552449165710983774179e-37j)
50:	(-1.0 + 2.242118931431719972951701196207462110809e-41j)